



GEOMETRIC REALISATION OF CONNES SPECTRAL TRIPLES FOR ALGEBRAS WITH CENTRAL BASES

Evelyn Lira-Torres ¹

Joint work with Prof. Shahn Majid (arXiv:2208.07821)
Queen Mary University of London

WINGs Spring Retreat, Chesterfield
18th April 2023



GEOMETRIC
REALISATION OF
CONNES
SPECTRAL
TRIPLES FOR
ALGEBRAS WITH
CENTRAL BASES

PRELIMINARIES
NCRG MOTIVATION
NCRG FORMALISM
NCRG FORMALISM

SPECTRAL
TRIPLES

AXIOMATIC
FORMALISM

COMPARISON WITH
CONNES

SOME RESULTS FOR
CENTRAL BASES

SOME RESULTS FOR
CENTRAL BASES

CONCLUSIONS

1 PRELIMINARIES

- NCRG motivation
- NCRG formalism
- NCRG formalism

2 SPECTRAL TRIPLES

- Axiomatic formalism
- Comparison with Connes
- Some results for central bases
- Some results for central bases

3 CONCLUSIONS



- 1 We will not assume that the spacetime is continuum at the plank scale.
- 2 Instead propose that it is more effectively described by a noncommutative coordinate algebra.
- 3 And in the limit classical RG is recovered.



We work with A a unital algebra, typically a $*$ -algebra over \mathbb{C} , in the role of ‘coordinate algebra’.

- 1 **Differentials** are formally introduced as a bimodule Ω^1 of 1-forms equipped with a map $d : A \rightarrow \Omega^1$ obeying the Leibniz rule $d(ab) = (da)b + adb$.
- 2 Assume this **extends** to an exterior algebra (Ω, d) with $d^2 = 0$ and d obeying the graded-Leibniz rule and $\wedge(g) = 0$.
- 3 A **quantum metric** is $g \in \Omega^1 \otimes_A \Omega^1$ and a bimodule map inverse $(,) : \Omega^1 \otimes_A \Omega^1 \rightarrow A$.
- 4 A **bimodule connection** on Ω^1 is $\nabla : \Omega^1 \rightarrow \Omega^1 \otimes_A \Omega^1$ obeying:
 - 1 $\nabla(a.\omega) = a.\nabla\omega + da \otimes \omega$,
 - 2 $\nabla(\omega.a) = (\nabla\omega).a + \sigma(\omega \otimes da)$
 with σ a unique ‘generalised braiding’ bimodule map $\sigma : \Omega^1 \otimes_A \Omega^1 \rightarrow \Omega^1 \otimes_A \Omega^1$.



Finally we define the quantum Levi-Civita connection (QLC) if

- 1 Is torsion free: $T_{\nabla} := \wedge \nabla - d$ vanishes.
- 2 Metric compatible: $\nabla g = (\nabla \otimes \text{id} + (\sigma \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \nabla))g$.

And the weak version (WQLC) if:

- 1 Is torsion free.
- 2 Is cotorsion free: $(d \otimes \text{id} - \text{id} \wedge \nabla)g = 0$.



In recent years, the **quantum Riemannian geometry** was extended to a systematic theory including the QLC and further structure as:

- 1 'spinor' bimodule \mathcal{S} equipped with a bimodule connection $\nabla_{\mathcal{S}}$
- 2 A 'Clifford action' $\triangleright : \Omega^1 \otimes_A \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$.
- 3 Leading to a quantum-geometric Dirac operator $D = \triangleright \circ \nabla_{\mathcal{S}}$.
- 4 And an inner product used to complete \mathcal{S} to a Hilbert Space.



MOTIVATION FOR CENTRAL BASES

GEOMETRIC
REALISATION OF
CONNES
SPECTRAL
TRIPLES FOR
ALGEBRAS WITH
CENTRAL BASES

PRELIMINARIES
NCRG MOTIVATION
NCRG FORMALISM
NCRG FORMALISM

SPECTRAL
TRIPLES

AXIOMATIC
FORMALISM
COMPARISON WITH
CONNES
SOME RESULTS FOR
CENTRAL BASES
SOME RESULTS FOR
CENTRAL BASES

CONCLUSIONS

We say a basis e^i is central if is a grassmann algebra: $e^i e^j + e^j e^i = 0$.

We will think in central bases if A has trivial centre, Ω^1 has a central basis $\{s^i\}$ and \mathcal{S} has a central basis $\{e^\alpha\}$.

The central bases assumption resumes into the set of 1-forms are self adjoint. The metric translates to the matrix g_{ij} of metric coefficient in the basis being hermitian. If σ is the flip map then the $*$ -preserving condition on ∇ translates to the Christoffel symbols in the basis being real.



We have important elements:

- 1 **Clifford action** $\triangleright : \Omega^1 \otimes_A \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, $s^i \triangleright e^\alpha = C^{i\alpha} e^\beta$, $C^{i\alpha} e^\beta \in \mathbb{C}$.
- 2 The **antilinear map** $\mathcal{J}(ae^\alpha) = a^* J^\alpha e^\beta$, with $\overline{J}J = \epsilon \text{id}$, $\epsilon = \pm 1$, $J^\alpha e^\beta \in \mathbb{C}$
- 3 The **bimodule connection and braiding**: $\nabla_S e^\alpha = S^\alpha_{i\beta} s^i \otimes e^\beta$, $\sigma_S(e^\alpha \otimes s^j) = \sigma_S^{\alpha j}_{i\beta} s^i \otimes e^\beta$, $\sigma_S^{\alpha j}_{i\beta} \in \mathbb{C}$.
- 4 The **compatibility of the connection with \mathcal{J} and σ_S** : $\overline{S}^j J \sigma^j_{S_i} = J S_i$ $\overline{C}^i J = \epsilon' J \sigma^i_{S_j} C^j$

For an **even** case we need an extra **bimodule map** γ :

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^2 &= \text{id}, \\ \{C^i, \gamma\} &= 0, \\ \overline{\gamma} J &= \epsilon'' J \gamma, \quad \epsilon'' = \pm 1, \\ [S_i, \gamma] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$



- * The covariance $\nabla(\triangleright) = 0$ condition:

$$C^i S_j - \sigma^{ik} {}_{jl} S_k C^l = -\frac{1}{2} \Gamma^i{}_{jk} C^k$$
- * The compatibility with the Clifford action with Ω^2 :

$$(s^i \wedge s^j) \triangleright e^\alpha := s^i \triangleright (s^j \triangleright e^\alpha) - g^{ij} e^\alpha.$$

For the inner product we will assume a reference positive linear functional $\int : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and set

$$\langle \phi_\alpha e^\alpha, \psi_\beta e^\beta \rangle = \int \phi_\alpha^* \mu^{\alpha\beta} \psi_\beta$$

for some positive hermitian matrix μ as ‘measure’ and $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}$.

Q: DIFFERENCE WITH CONNES?

A: Geometric conditions dont exist in Connes formalism and generalise the theory.



The Quantum geometric Dirac operator construction applied to an arbitrary unital $*$ -algebra over \mathbb{C} may or may not obey the axioms of a spectral triple. For instance

- 1 For the q -sphere, $C_q[S^2]$, we obtain a q -deformed Dirac Operator, because J is not fully an antilinear isometry
- 2 But for the fuzzy sphere, $C_\lambda[S^2] = U(su_2)/\langle x_i^2 = (1 - \lambda^2) \ i \in \{1, 2, 3\} \rangle$, has unique modulo unitary equivalence natural spectral triple.



SOME RESULTS AND EXAMPLES

THEOREM

Up to a phase in the 2D spinor bundle case, J can be obtained with $r > 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ as either:

$$(1): J = \begin{pmatrix} z & r \\ \frac{\epsilon - |z|^2}{r} & -\bar{z} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2): J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\epsilon - 1}{|z|^2} z \\ z & -\frac{z}{\bar{z}} \end{pmatrix}$$

or its transpose. The $\epsilon = -1$ case of (2) needs $z \neq 0$ and up to a phase is also an instance to type (1).



NONCOMMUTATIVE TORUS

the only geometrically realised Dirac operator for the standard Euclidean metric and ∇ a WQLC are

$$D(\psi_\alpha e^\alpha) = (\partial_i \psi_\alpha s^i) \triangleright e^\alpha + \psi_\alpha d_i s^i \triangleright e^\alpha = \sigma^{i\alpha}{}_\beta ((\partial_i + d_i) \psi_\alpha) e^\beta. \quad (1)$$

. With Hilbert space, the state $\int u^m v^n = \delta_{m,0} \delta_{n,0}$. Up to unitary equivalence, $(D\psi)_\beta = (\partial_i \psi_\alpha) \sigma^{i\alpha}{}_\beta$ is the only possibility for a geometrically realised spectral triple on the noncommutative torus for the Euclidean metric, a WQLC and the standard Hilbert space structure on \mathcal{S} .



Future path?

- ★ One goal is to fully characterise central bases algebras by computing more examples, like q -deformed NC torus.
- ★ Interpret the geometric realisation restrictions in particle physics constraints.
- ★ Extend this to spinors and spectral triples without the Dirac Operator.



GEOMETRIC
REALISATION OF
CONNES
SPECTRAL
TRIPLES FOR
ALGEBRAS WITH
CENTRAL BASES

PRELIMINARIES
NCRG MOTIVATION
NCRG FORMALISM
NCRG FORMALISM

SPECTRAL
TRIPLES

AXIOMATIC
FORMALISM

COMPARISON WITH
CONNES

SOME RESULTS FOR
CENTRAL BASES

SOME RESULTS FOR
CENTRAL BASES

CONCLUSIONS

Thank you for your attention!
Questions?